

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

TOMMY DAVIS CONSTRUCTION INC.
 v.
 CAPE FEAR PUBLIC UTILITY AUTHORITY
 AND NEW HANOVER COUNTY

Case No.: 7:13-cv-0002-h

BILL OF COSTS

Judgment having been entered in the above entitled action on 07/08/2014 against Defendants,
 the Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:

Fees of the Clerk	\$ 235.00
Fees for service of summons and subpoena	5.30
Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessarily obtained for use in the case	225.75 *
Fees and disbursements for printing	0.00
Fees for witnesses (itemize on page two)	0.00
Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies of any materials where the copies are necessarily obtained for use in the case.	438.20
Docket fees under 28 U.S.C. 1923	0.00
Costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals	0.00
Compensation of court-appointed experts	0.00
Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpretation services under 28 U.S.C. 1828	0.00
Other costs (please itemize)	
TOTAL	\$ 904.25

SPECIAL NOTE: Attach to your bill an itemization and documentation for requested costs in all categories.

Declaration

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing costs are correct and were necessarily incurred in this action and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed. A copy of this bill has been served on all parties in the following manner:

☒ Electronic service ☐ First class mail, postage prepaid

☐ Other: _____

s/ Attorney: _____

Name of Attorney: Bradley A. Cox

For: Tommy Davis Construction, Inc.
 Name of Claiming Party

Date: 2/26/15

Taxation of Costs

Costs are taxed in the amount of \$ 888.50 and included in the judgment.

Julie G. Johnston
 Clerk of Court

By: _____
 Deputy Clerk

March 12, 2015
 Date

* The award is reduced by \$15.75 for the exhibits scanned and shipping and handling costs associated with the deposition of Tommy Davis. In construing 28 U.S.C. § 1920 and Local Civil Rule 54.1, this court has denied fees for copies of exhibits and shipping and handling. See, e.g., Nwaebube v. Employment Sec. Comm'n of N.C., No. 5:09-CV-395-F, 2012 WL 3643667, at *1 (E.D.N.C. Aug. 21, 2012) (unpublished) (disallowing costs of exhibit copies); Hexion v. Specialty Chemicals, Inc. v. Oak-Bark Corp., No. 7:09-CV-105-D, 2012 WL 2458638, at *6 (E.D.N.C. June 27, 2012) (unpublished) (denying costs for shipping and handling).